

Coq Tutorial : Basic Tactics

David Baelde

Logic Project, ENS Paris-Saclay, 2020–2021

Figure 1 describes a few tactics as inference rules: a tactic replaces a goal of the form shown in conclusion of the rule with a number of new subgoals described by the premisses of the rule.

Goals are written as the sequents of first-order natural deduction, but are richer in that they also contain declarations for universally quantified variables, e.g. $\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{nat}, \mathbf{H} : x \neq 0 \vdash 0 < x$.

Negation and equivalence. Negation $\neg P$ is defined as $P \rightarrow \perp$ and, accordingly, you can use the tactics available for implication directly on $\neg P$. The same goes for $P \leftrightarrow Q$ which is defined as $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$.

Complex tactic invocations. Several tactics use an hypothesis name \mathbf{H} to refer to an item in the current goal's context. In such cases \mathbf{H} can also be the name of a previously proved result (e.g. **apply strong.induction**) or the constructor of an inductively defined predicate (e.g. **apply le_n**).

If \mathbf{H} corresponds to a formula it is also possible to specify how universally quantified variables and hypotheses must be instantiated: for example, with **mylemma** : $\forall x. \neg P$ and $\mathbf{H} : P$ one can directly do **elim (mylemma t H)**.

Equality. There are several ways to use an hypothesis $\mathbf{H} : u = v$.

- **inversion H** should only be used when the equal terms feature a constructor (e.g. $0 = Sx, Sx = Sy$).

- **rewrite H** replaces all occurrences of u by v in the goal.
- **rewrite H in H'** performs the replacement in hypothesis \mathbf{H}' .
- **rewrite <-** replaces v by u rather than u by v .

Other tactics. A few tactics cannot easily be described as a single inference rule.

- **unfold f** unfolds the definition of f in the current goal. One can also use the variant **unfold ... in H**. It is sometimes useful (*except* before rewriting) since Coq implicitly performs the required unfolding before other tactic applications.
- **destruct x** can be used to perform a case analysis on \mathbf{x} if it belongs to an inductive type, e.g. **nat**.
- **inversion H** performs a case analysis on $\mathbf{H} : P$ when P is an instance of an inductively defined predicate (e.g. equality **eq**, **le**, **multiple**).
- **simpl** performs all possible computations to simplify the current goal.
- **clear H x H' ...** drops unused items. An item can only be dropped if (after having dropped the previous items) it is unused in the context.

<p>exact H.</p> $\frac{}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : P \vdash P}$	<p>split.</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash P \quad \dots \vdash Q}{\dots \vdash P \wedge Q}$	<p>destruct H.</p> $\frac{\dots, \mathbf{H} : P, \mathbf{H}' : Q \vdash \dots}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : P \wedge Q \vdash \dots}$
<p>left.</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash P}{\dots \vdash P \vee Q}$	<p>right.</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash Q}{\dots \vdash P \vee Q}$	<p>destruct H.</p> $\frac{\dots, \mathbf{H} : P \vdash \dots \quad \dots, \mathbf{H} : Q \vdash \dots}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : P \vee Q \vdash \dots}$
<p>intro $\langle \mathbf{H} \rangle$.</p> $\frac{\dots, \mathbf{H} : P \vdash Q}{\dots \vdash P \rightarrow Q}$	<p>intro $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$.</p> $\frac{\dots, \mathbf{x} : t \vdash P\{y \mapsto x\}}{\dots \vdash \forall y : t. P}$	<p>exists u.</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash P\{x \mapsto u\}}{\dots \vdash \exists x : t. P}$
<p>apply H.</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash P_1\{x_i \mapsto t_i\} \quad \dots \quad \dots \vdash P_n\{x_i \mapsto t_i\}}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : \forall \vec{x}_i. \vec{P}_j \rightarrow Q \vdash Q\{x_i \mapsto t_i\}}$	<p>destruct H.</p> $\frac{\dots, \mathbf{x} : t, \mathbf{H} : P \vdash \dots}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : \exists x : t. P \vdash \dots}$	
<p>reflexivity.</p> $\frac{}{\dots \vdash u = u}$	<p>rewrite H.</p> $\frac{\dots, \mathbf{H} : u = v \vdash P\{x \mapsto v\}}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : u = v \vdash P\{x \mapsto u\}}$	<p>inversion H.</p> $\frac{\text{see } \S \text{ on equality}}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : u = v \vdash \dots}$
<p>elim H.</p> $\frac{\dots, \vdash P}{\dots, \mathbf{H} : \neg P \vdash \dots}$	<p>assert P.</p> $\frac{\dots \vdash P \quad \dots, \mathbf{H} : P \vdash \dots}{\dots \vdash \dots}$	

Figure 1: Description of basic tactics as inference rules.